

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 7477–7480

Asymmetric hydrogenation of tri-substituted alkenes with Ir-NHC-thiazole complexes

Klas Källström and Pher G. Andersson*

Department of Biochemistry and Organic Chemistry, Uppsala University, Box 576, SE-751 23 Uppsala, Sweden

Received 29 June 2006; revised 2 August 2006; accepted 10 August 2006

Abstract—An efficient chiral N-heterocyclic carbene ligand for the Ir-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of largely unfunctionalized tri-substituted olefins has been developed. The Ir-NHC-thiazole catalyst is able to reduce a large variety of substrates with excellent conversions and good enantioselectivities with ee's ranging from 34% to 90%, depending on the geometry around the double bond of the substrates.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

N-Heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) and their transition metal complexes have recently attracted much interest in organic and organometallic chemistry.¹ Due to strong carbon–metal σ -bonds, NHCs are well suited to act as ligands in various transition metal catalyzed reactions. The organometallic complexes derived from NHCs tend to be air stable and the carbene binds to the metal more strongly than electron rich phosphines.^{[2](#page-3-0)} Their powerful σ -donating and weak π -accepting properties result in metal centers that are electron rich when compared to the corresponding phosphine complexes.^{[3](#page-3-0)}

Complexes containing carbene based ligands tend to be highly active in oxidative addition reactions and hence are attractive alternatives to phosphine based ligand systems.

Recently, Burgess and co-workers reported that chiral Ir-imidazol-2-ylidene-oxazoline complexes can be used for the asymmetric hydrogenation of olefins with great success, enantiomeric excesses over 99% were obtained.^{[4](#page-3-0)}

Chiral imidazolylidenes were first reported several dec-ades ago by Müller and co-workers^{[5](#page-3-0)} and several others have been reported more recently.^{[6–8](#page-3-0)} Even so there have been relatively few reports on optically active electron rich carbene ligands in asymmetric catalysis, some examples include Ru-catalyzed metathesis,^{[8,9](#page-3-0)} Rh-cata-lyzed hydrosilylation^{[10](#page-3-0)} and conjugate addition of aryl-boronic acid derivatives to enones.^{[11](#page-3-0)}

Ever since Pfaltz first reported the successful Ir-catalyzed hydrogenation of largely unfunctionalized olefins using the Ir–PHOX complex, 12 12 12 a chiral mimic of Crabtree's catalyst,¹³ there have been a number of reports in the literature employing chiral N,P-ligands for this type of hydrogenation.[14](#page-3-0) However, Ir-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation is still highly substrate dependent and the development of new efficient chiral ligands that tolerate a broad range of substrates remains a challenge.

Inspired by this, we decided to synthesize an imidazole analogue of our recently published phosphine-thiazole ligand 4 (Scheme 1).^{[15](#page-3-0)}

We reasoned that exchanging the phosphine moiety with a NHC functionality would produce an interesting

Scheme 1. Synthetic route leading to the phosphine–thiazole ligand.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 18 471 3801; fax: +46 18 471 3818; e-mail: Pher.Andersson@kemi.uu.se

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.08.039

ligand structure that might perform well in various asymmetric catalytic reactions, especially in the Ir-catalyzed hydrogenation of olefins.

The synthesis of the NHC ligand 6 started from the previously described tosylate 3 ([Scheme 1\)](#page-0-0), which in turn can be prepared in enantiomerically pure form by a previously reported synthetic protocol [\(Scheme 1](#page-0-0)).[15](#page-3-0) The tosylate is first converted into the iodide 5 via a Finkelstein reaction, by treatment with 5 equiv of NaI in refluxing acetone. Nucleophilic substitution with N-phenylimidazole (1 equiv) proceeded smoothly in DMF at 60 °C (48 h), giving 6 in reasonable yield. The major by-product observed in this reaction was the elimination product 7. In an attempt to reduce the reaction time, the temperature was increased $(80 °C)$, resulting in 70% of 7 (Scheme 2). However, decreasing the temperature below 60 \degree C did not produce the desired product 6 in higher yield.

Complex formation was accomplished by dissolving the ligand 6, $[IrCl(COD)]_2$, and 'BuOLi in THF, then refluxing for 1 h to generate the carbene and then stirring at rt overnight. The solvent was then evaporated and the residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml). Ion exchange was accomplished by addition of $H₂O$ (2 ml) followed by 1.2 equiv of $NaBAr_F$ and stirring vigorously for 30 min, yielding complex 8 in 30% isolated yield (Scheme 3).

The new complex 8 proved to be efficient in the hydrogenation of various standard substrates with ee's ranging from 34% to 90% ([Table 1\)](#page-2-0). The hydrogenation of **9** at different pressures of H_2 showed 50 bar to be optimal for this substrate and this pressure was therefore used for all subsequent experiments [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0).

All the substrates evaluated showed good activity and full conversions were obtained for almost all substrates within two hours.

Substrate 9 (entry 1) proved to be the best substrate in terms of enantioselectivity. The trans and cis isomers of (1-methylpropenyl)benzene 10 and 11 (entries 2 and

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Ir-complex 8. Reagents and conditions: (i) [IrCl(COD)₂], 'BuOLi, THF, reflux, 1 h, then rt overnight, then H_2O , NaBArF·3H₂O, rt, 1 h, 30%.

3), resulted in products having opposite absolute configurations, also the observed enantioselectivity for the cis conformation was lower. Introducing an electron donating group in the para position, that is, substrate 12 (entry 4) proved to be beneficial, 79% ee. Substrate 13 (entry 5) was reduced with better enantioselectivity than for the previously reported phosphine thiazole complexes, 55% ee.[15](#page-3-0) Interestingly, moving the methyl group to the β -position, that is, substrate 14 (entry 6) did not increase the enantioselectivity, 70% ee.

Also, *trans*- and *cis*- β -methyl cinnamates 15 and 16 (entries 7 and 8) show reversed enantiofacial selectivity when compared to our phosphine thiazole complexes.¹⁵ With this complex, tetra-substituted olefins showed no conversion at all under the conditions used.

Unfortunately, substrate 18 (entry 10) was reduced with both low selectivity and conversion. The differences in enantioselectivity compared to our previously published phosphine-thiazole complex may be a result of either two factors, the N-phenylimidazole provides a less efficient chiral environment around the Ir atom, or that the complex formed coordinates through a seven-membered chelate resulting in a more open structure compared to the phosphine-thiazole ligand 4.

In conclusion, we have synthesized a new NHC ligand applying the same chiral scaffold as earlier reported by us. The Ir-NHC thiazole complex was tested and evaluated in the asymmetric hydrogenation of olefins. This new complex proved to be efficient in the hydrogenation of various tri-substituted olefins, with ee's ranging from 34% to 90% depending on the geometry around the double bond in the substrates. We have also shown that this new complex was more selective for substrates containing bulky substituents, such as 13.

2. Experimental

2.1. 4-Iodomethyl-2-phenyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-benzothiazole (5)

Compound 3 (0.43 g, 1.1 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (13 ml), and NaI (0.83 g, 5.5 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 60° C overnight. The solvent was removed, the residue dissolved in toluene and the solution was poured into aq NaHCO₃ (10%, 20 ml). The organic layer was washed with brine (20 ml)

Table 1. Ir-catalyzed hydrogenation of substrates 9–18

		R^2 R_{\diagdown}^{1}	H_2 (50 bar), CH_2Cl_2	R^{1} H ₂ R^{2}	
		R^3 H ²	Complex (0.5 mol%)	$H \underset{H}{\curvearrowright} R^3$	
Entry	Substrate		Conversion (%)	ee (%)	Absolute configuration
$\,1\,$	C_6H_5 Ph	$\boldsymbol{9}$	>99	90^a (>99) ^d	(S)
$\sqrt{2}$	$\rm{C_6H_5}$	${\bf 10}$	$>\!\!99$	70^b $(99)^d$	(S)
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	C_6H_5	${\bf 11}$	$76\,$	$34^{\rm b}$	(R)
$\overline{4}$	p -MeO-C $_6$ H ₄	${\bf 12}$	$>\!\!99$	79^b $(99)^d$	(S)
$\sqrt{5}$		13	$>\!\!99$	$70^b (55)^d$	(R)
$\sqrt{6}$		14	$>\!\!99$	$70^b (98)^d$	(S)
$\boldsymbol{7}$	C_6H_5 COOEt	$15\,$	$>\!\!99$	$63^b (98)^d$	$\left(R\right)$
$\,8\,$	C_6H_5 COOEt	16	$>\!\!99$	34^b (rac.) ^d	(S)
$\boldsymbol{9}$	HO. C_6H_5	17	$>\!\!99$	80° (99) ^d	(S)
$10\,$	C_6H_5 OAc	${\bf 18}$	$30\,$	$rac{b}{b}$	

^a HPLC (Chiralcel-OJ column, hexane: *i*-PrOH, 99:1).
^b GC–MS (G-Ta, 60 °C, 30 min, 5 °C/min, 75 °C, 100 kPa).

^c GC (CP-Chirasil-Dex CB, isotherm 130 °C).
^d Values within brackets refer to the ee obtained with Ir-4 complex.^{[15](#page-3-0)}

and $H₂O$ (20 ml). The combined organic layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporated to dryness. Purification by flash chromatography (toluene–EtOAc 9:1) yielded pure 5, as a yellow solid in quantitative yield (0.39 g) ; mp 52.5–53.9 °C; R_f 0.89 (toluene–EtOAc 9:1); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$ +40.2 (c 0.90, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.75–1.89 (m, 2H, CH₂),1.93–2.03 (m, 1H, CH₂), 2.10–2.19 (m, 1H, CH₂), 2.72–2.85 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.08–3.15 (m, 1H, CH), 3.43 (dd, $J = 9.4$, 9.7 Hz, 1H, CH₂I), 3.42 (dd, $J = 3.3$, 9.7 Hz, 1H, CH₂I), 7.35–7.44 $(m, 3H, ArH), 7.85-7.90$ $(m, 2H, ArH), 13C NMR$ (100 MHz, CDCl3) d: 21.5, 25.6, 31.7, 39.7, 71.1, 126.0, 127.9, 128.4, 144.6, 150.6, 160.3, 172.3; MS (EI) (m/z) (rel intensity) 356.3 (MH⁺, 20%), 228.1 (100%).

2.2. 2-Phenyl-4-(3-phenyl-3H-imidazol-1-ylmethyl)- 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-benzothiazole iodide (6)

Compound 5 (0.147 g, 0.41 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (1.0 ml), and N-phenylimidazole (0.059 g, 0.41) mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was heated at $60 °C$ for 48 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum. Purification by flash chromatography $\rm (CH_2Cl_2–MeOH)$ 9:1) yielded 6, as a pale yellow solid in 50% yield (0.102 g, 0.21 mmol); mp: decomposed at 278 °C; R_f 0.22 (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH 9:1); $[\alpha]_0^{20}$ +25.2 (c 0.70, CHCl₃);
¹H NMP (400 MHz, CDCL) 8: 1.55, 1.72 (m 1H ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.55–1.72 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.75–1.92 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.98–2.12 (m, 1H, CH₂), 2.21–2.34 (m, 1H, CH2), 2.70–2.83 (m, 2H, CH2)

3.46–3.58 (m, 1H, CH), 4.93 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 13.7 Hz, 1H, CH₂I), 5.02 (dd, $J = 5.1$, 13.7 Hz, 1H, CH₂I), 7.22– 7.37 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.42–7.55 (m, 3H) 7.59–7.75 (m, 6H, ArH), 10.53 (s, 1H, ArH); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) d: 21.5, 23.5, 26.4, 37.7, 53.5, 120.1, 121.9, 124.1, 125.9, 128.9, 129.9, 130.2, 130.5, 132.7, 133.4, 134.3, 136.1, 149.5, 165.2.; MS (EI) (m/z) (rel intensity) 499.5 $(M^+, 100\%)$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (VR).

References and notes

- 1. For recent reviews see: (a) Cui, X.; Burgess, K. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3272; (b) Perry, M. C.; Burgess, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 951; (c) Hermann, W. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1290; (d) Hermann, W. A.; Köcher, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2162.
- 2. Huang, J.; Schanz, H.-J.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2370.
- 3. Huang, J.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P.; Petersen, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2674.
- 4. Perry, M. C.; Cui, X.; Powell, M. T.; Hou, D.-R.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Burgess, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 113.
- 5. Coleman, A. W.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Maskell, R. K.; Müller, J. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 250, C9–C14.
- 6. Scholl, M.; Ding, S.; Lee, C. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 953.
- 7. Lee, S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3402.
- 8. Van Veldhuizen, J. J.; Garber, S. B.; Kingsbury, J. S.; Hoveyda, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4954.
- 9. (a) Seiders, T. J.; Ward, D. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3225; (b) Van Veldhuizen, J. J.; Gillingham, D. G.; Garber, S. B.; Kataoka, O.; Hoveyda, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12502.
- 10. (a) Herrman, W. A.; Gooßen, L. J.; Kocher, C.; Artus, G. R. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 2805; (b) Enders, D.; Gielen, H.; Runsink, J.; Breuer, K.; Brode, S.; Boehn, K. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 913; (c) Enders, D.; Gielen, H. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 617, 70; (d) César, V.; Bellmin-Laponnaz, S.; Gade, L. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1014; (e) Duan, W.-L.; Shi, M.; Rong, G.-B. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2916.
- 11. Ma, Y.; Song, C.; Ma, C.; Sun, Z.; Chai, Q.; Andrus, M. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5871.
- 12. Lightfoot, A.; Schnider, P.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2897.
- 13. Crabtree, R. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 331.
- 14. Källström, K.; Munslow, I.; Andersson, P. G. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 3194.
- 15. Hedberg, C.; Källström, K.; Brandt, P.; Hansen, L. K.; Andersson, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2995.